

F-6756

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant : Toku ISHII, et al.  
Serial No. : 09/762,362  
Filed : February 5, 2001  
For : SPACER FOR OPTICAL FIBER CABLE,  
METHOD FOR PRODUCING SAID SPACER,  
AND OPTICAL FIBER CABLE USING SAID  
SPACER

Group Art Unit : 2874  
Examiner : Jerry T. RAHLI

FAX RECEIVED

JUL 25 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500

**Certificate of Facsimile Transmission Under 37 CFR 1.8**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted in accordance with 37 CFR §1.6(d) to the United States Patent Office addressed to COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on July 25, 2003 to facsimile no. (703) 872-9318.

D2

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES TRANSMITTED: 4

Jacqueline M. Steady  
(Name)

Jacqueline M. Steady  
(Signature)

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Sir:

f6756 res rest req supp (PC9).wpd

F-6756

Ser. No. 09/762,362

**INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS:**

Supplemental to te Response to Restriction Requirement filed on July 18,  
2003 applicant submits the Remarks presented below.

F-6756

Ser. No. 09/762,362

**REMARKS**

The present application is a National Stage PCT Application filed under 35 USC §371. Accordingly, election of an invention is not required where a unity of invention exists among the claims. PCT Rules 13.1 and 13.2 are to be followed in making a unity of invention determination.

The Office Action is distinguishing between a product and a process for making the product. However, Rule 13 permits such a combination of claims. Specifically, it is stated that "in addition to an independent claim for a given product, an independent claim for a process specifically adapted for the manufacture of said product" is permitted. MPEP §1850 "*Combinations of Different Categories of Claims.*" A process is specially adapted "if the claimed process inherently results in the claimed product with the technical relationship being present between the claimed product and claimed process." *Id.* Furthermore, it does not matter if the product can be made by another process. Thus, the statement in the Office Action that the "claims of Group II do not have a special technical feature . . . Therefore, Groups I and II do not share a special technical feature and the application lacks unity.

In view of the above, reconsideration and withdrawal of the restriction requirement are respectfully requested.

F-6756

Ser. No. 09/762,362

In light of the foregoing, the application is now believed to be in proper form for allowance of all claims and notice to that effect is earnestly solicited. Please charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 10-1250.

Respectfully submitted,  
Jordan and Hamburg LLP

By C. Bruce Hamburg  
C. Bruce Hamburg  
Reg. No. 22,389  
Attorney for Applicants

by and,  
By Jacqueline M. Steady  
Jacqueline M. Steady  
Reg. No. 44,354  
Attorney for Applicants

Jordan and Hamburg LLP  
122 East 42nd Street  
New York, New York 10168  
(212) 986-2340